

**MINUTES OF MEETING
GRAND HAVEN
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

A Community Workshop of the Grand Haven Community Development District's Board of Supervisors was held on **Thursday, October 2, 2014 at 10:00 a.m.**, at the **Grand Haven Village Center, Grand Haven Room, 2001 Waterside Parkway, Palm Coast, Florida 32137.**

Present at the meeting were:

Dr. Stephen Davidson	Chair
Pete Chiodo	Vice Chair
Marie Gaeta	Assistant Secretary
Tom Lawrence	Assistant Secretary
Ray Smith	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Craig Wrathell	District Manager
Rick Woodville	Wrathell, Hunt and Associates, LLC
Howard McGaffney	Wrathell, Hunt and Associates, LLC
Barry Kloptosky	Field Operations Manager
Robert Ross	Vesta/AMG
Ashley Higgins	CDD Office Staff
T.J. West	Escalante Golf
Al Lo Monaco	Resident
Jim Gallo	Resident
Diane Layng	Resident
David Alfin	Resident
Frank Benham	Resident
Bob Crouch	Resident
Nancy Crouch	Resident
Rob Carlton	Resident
Vincent Marmo	Resident
Charles Greer	Resident
Tom Byrne	Resident
Louise Dycke	Resident
Gabriella Tuscano	Resident
Rich Iulucci	Resident
Vic Natiello	Resident
Jim Harter	Resident

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL

Mr. Wrathell called the workshop to order at 10:00 a.m., and noted, for the record, that all Supervisors were present, in person.

Mr. Wrathell indicated that a workshop will be held first, followed by a regular Board meeting, commencing at 12:00 p.m. He advised that public comments will be heard during the Board meeting.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

All present recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

UPDATES: Amenity Manager

Mr. Ross recalled Supervisor Gaeta's comments, at the last meeting, that tennis players were not checked. He reported that Supervisor Gaeta provided him with the name of one tennis player who was a former resident but was still using the facilities. Mr. Ross indicated that the former resident was caught and must now pay the \$10 daily activity fee. He stated that tennis players are being scanned.

Supervisor Davidson asked that bocce ball and croquet players be checked, as well.

Supervisor Lawrence asked for an update regarding parking at The Village Center. Mr. Ross confirmed that the results were no different from those reported in the past; Wednesdays and Fridays are a problem, due to the extensive number of activities. In response to Supervisor Lawrence's question, Mr. Ross affirmed that there are more vehicles than available parking spaces on Wednesdays and Fridays. Supervisor Lawrence suggested rescheduling activities to alleviate the parking issues. Mr. Ross felt that rescheduling was not an option.

Supervisor Smith reported that he recently left his credit card at the Café and it was turned in to the office for him to pick up; he commended Mr. Ross on his staff's actions.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

UPDATES: Field/Operations Manager

- **Time Constraint Issues**

This item was not discussed.

- **Status of Permits**

****This item was discussed out of order.****

- **Pumphouse Equipment Repair**

Mr. Kloptosky reported that the main pump house permit was issued last Friday; however, the subcontractors must now apply for permits. He will meet with PBM Constructors, Inc., (PBM) today to review the start date and notify Escalante Golf (Escalante).

- **Pickleball Court**

Mr. Kloptosky indicated that he is working with Mr. Ray Tyner, Palm Coast City Planner, to expedite the project; the necessary items were provided to Mr. Tyner on September 22 but Mr. Tyner has not responded. He will resend the information and request a response tomorrow. Mr. Kloptosky was hopeful that Mr. Tyner would also assist with completing the permit packet required by the City.

Supervisor Davidson asked if the contractor for the pickleball court will be available to complete the project or if the permit delay will cause the contractor to “walk away” from the project. Mr. Kloptosky advised that the contractor was very frustrated but is anxious to complete the project; the contractor does not understand why the permit is required when a permit is not required for this type of work anywhere else in Florida.

Discussion ensued regarding when the projects will commence.

Supervisor Chiodo suggested recruiting residents to attend City Council meetings, as he believed that the saga will not end. Mr. Kloptosky stated that pickleball and croquet residents asked him if they should attend City Council meetings and he advised them that they could, at any time, as these are historical issues; however, he does not want to upset Mr. Tyner, who is trying to help. Supervisor Chiodo recommended a well organized meeting.

- **Update Office Automation**

****This item was discussed out of order.****

Ms. Higgins presented proposals for document management systems. She stated that the Laserfiche Avante system would be serviced by the District’s current I.T. representatives, Celera I.T. Services, Inc., for an initial cost of \$8,600, \$600 per year after the first year and \$80 per hour for additional support. Ms. Higgins recommended selecting Laserfiche Avante.

Ms. Higgins presented proposals from Copytronics, for SentryFile, at an initial cost of \$7,385, \$500 per year after the first year and \$175 per hour for additional support; DocRecord proposed an initial cost of \$8,973, \$839 per year after the first year and \$175 per hour for additional support and DocuWare proposed an initial cost of \$29,483, \$2,148 per year after the first year and \$175 per hour for additional support.

Ms. Higgins discussed the benefits of document management systems, system backup capabilities, etc.

Supervisor Smith asked where this item was located within the Fiscal Year 2015 budget. Mr. Wrathell and Mr. Kloptosky advised that the expense was not budgeted but felt that the costs could be absorbed within the budget. Supervisor Gaeta inquired about which of these document management systems Mr. Wrathell's firm uses. Mr. Wrathell confirmed that Management does not use any of the proposed systems. Ms. Higgins explained that Management uses a computer based system that requires one to know where to look for the saved documents; with the proposed document management systems, documents can be located simply by searching a single word. Regarding purging documents after the statutory period, Mr. Wrathell voiced his opinion that it is easier to keep all documents, as staff time monitoring when to purge and actually purging the documents is not worth the time and money. Ms. Higgins pointed out that, with the document management systems, the retention periods can be set.

Ms. Higgins noted potential public records issues and advised that the systems are capable of having resident portals, which are secure.

In response to Supervisor Gaeta's question, Ms. Higgins confirmed that a document management system would enable staff to "recapture" more of the CDD office space; Mr. Kloptosky has a tremendous amount of files that would be placed on the computer system. Supervisor Gaeta pointed out that placing the files on the system would provide a greater level of security, should there be a fire or other event. Ms. Higgins stressed that the District is the first workplace she has seen, in a long time, which still uses filing cabinets. In response to Supervisor Smith's question, Ms. Higgins acknowledged Mr. Kloptosky's concern about transitioning to a document management system but felt that it would be fine.

Mr. Kloptosky recalled the original intention to place this expenditure into the Capital budget.

Supervisor Lawrence recommended negotiating a lower price from Laserfiche Avante.

Discussion ensued regarding backing up the documents system.

Supervisor Davidson supported utilizing the LandMar settlement funds for this expenditure.

This item will be considered during the regular meeting, later today.

Supervisor Gaeta noted that the pump house project equipment was fabricated and questioned whether any work could be performed or if the work must wait until the permit is

received. Mr. Kloptosky advised that PBM did what they could, without the permit, including cleaning and prep work.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**DISCUSSION ITEMS****A. Continued Discussion: Croquet Court [BK]**

- **Resurfacing Services**
 - **Austin Outdoor**
 - **Precision Land Grading, Inc.**

Mr. Kloptosky recalled that the croquet court resurfacing proposals were presented at the last meeting; the Board must select a contractor. He reminded the Board that the proposals are not the final cost; the Austin Outdoor (Austin) proposal did not include rolled sod, which would be \$1,850 more, bringing Austin's proposal to \$37,466.53. Mr. Kloptosky advised that the proposal from Precision Land Grading, Inc., (Precision) did not include removing the hedges, for access, and replacing them, which would add \$1,850, bringing the total to \$35,850. He voiced his opinion that Precision is an expert in this type of work and he believed that a permit would not be necessary.

Supervisor Gaeta stated that the Precision proposal did not identify the quantity of TifGrand sod and asked how much sod would be installed. Mr. Kloptosky pointed out that the Precision proposal states 13,800 square feet and noted that the price was based on installing the same quantity that was removed; he will verify the square footage with Precision.

Mr. Kloptosky voiced his opinion that Precision is experienced, received positive reviews and costs slightly less.

Supervisor Chiodo recalled Precision's opinion that the croquet court should have lasted longer and that maintenance was a factor, which caused him to question hiring Austin.

Mr. Kloptosky stated that he discussed this with Austin and does not want to fault Austin regarding past maintenance of the croquet courts because the District should have been more specific in its contract with Austin. He felt that Austin is performing the work required under the contract.

Supervisor Smith supported contracting with Precision.

In response to Supervisor Gaeta's question, Mr. Kloptosky confirmed that Austin is willing to remove and replace the hedge, regardless of whether they are awarded the croquet court contract. All Supervisors favored Precision.

- **Consulting Services**
 - **Precision Land Grading, Inc.**

Mr. Kloptosky presented a proposal from Precision for consulting services.

Mr. Kloptosky reported that he spoke to Escalante but Escalante was not interested in consulting or maintenance services. He felt that the current maintenance services provided by Austin would be acceptable but with “tweaking”, to include additional services.

- **Aeration Services**
 - **Nu-Green by Corey, Inc.**

Mr. Kloptosky felt that aeration services could be backed out of Austin’s contract. He presented a \$700 per year proposal from Nu-Green by Corey, Inc., (Nu-Green) for annual aeration services, which includes two core aerification and four air injection services each year. Mr. Kloptosky pointed out that Austin currently charges \$375 each for two aerification services per year but the cost includes The Village Center croquet court. He noted that the air injections proposed by Nu-Green are essential to the process to preserve the croquet courts.

Referring to the consulting services proposed by Precision, Mr. Kloptosky recommended that the Board delay a decision and voiced his opinion that the \$6,000 cost is high; he was not sure what services would be provided for the price. Mr. Kloptosky questioned if the consulting services would include guiding Austin’s maintenance efforts. He stated that, despite Escalante’s lack of interest in providing consulting services, he will still pursue them.

Mr. Kloptosky pointed out that the District is running out of time to complete the croquet court project and recommended postponing it until spring.

Mr. T.J. West, Escalante Golf Superintendent, stated that he spoke with Mr. Kloptosky regarding the croquet court. He voiced his opinion that the remaining growing season would be too short to handle winter croquet traffic, on a newly resurfaced court. Mr. West recommended heavily overseeding the current court, during the winter, with the intention of replacing the court in the spring.

Mr. Kloptosky stated that he asked Mr. West to address this matter, rather than Precision, as he felt that the contractor would have a vested interest in completing the project. He concurred with Mr. West’s opinion. In response to Mr. Kloptosky’s question, Mr. West estimated that the court could be overseeded for approximately \$500.

Supervisor Gaeta questioned if the court would be safe to play on, given the current moldy and uneven conditions.

Mr. West stated that the overseed would tack in on the algae. He suggested that the District hire Nu-Green to air-inject the court before winter, which could help with the algae issue. Mr. West stressed his opinion that it would be “a waste of money” to replace the surface now. In response to Supervisor Gaeta’s question, Mr. West stated that play could resume seven to ten days following the overseeding.

Supervisor Lawrence asked Mr. West when the project could be completed. Mr. West felt that the project could commence in April. Mr. Kloptosky favored the overseeding process and delaying replacement until spring; he believed that the court could be maintained in “decent, playable shape” until spring.

Regarding the consultation services requested of Escalante by Mr. Kloptosky, Mr. West advised that it would go beyond his job description with Escalante; he is a turf grass manager. Mr. West stated that he would be willing to offer advice, on his own time.

Supervisor Davidson agreed that the croquet court resurfacing project should be delayed. Supervisor Chiodo felt that delaying the project alleviates the pressure related to completing the pump house project. Supervisor Chiodo suggested asking Precision to hold the proposed price until spring. Mr. Kloptosky felt that Precision would agree with delaying the project. Supervisor Davidson directed Mr. Kloptosky to contact Precision prior to the meeting scheduled for noon.

*****Supervisor Gaeta stepped out of the workshop.*****

Supervisors Smith, Chiodo, Lawrence and Davidson favored delaying the project.

Regarding consulting services, Mr. Kloptosky stated that, even if Escalante offered to provide consulting services, Escalante would only give guidance; they would not perform the maintenance. He explained that Escalante would not want to be responsible for deficiencies because the District contracted with Austin to perform maintenance. Mr. Kloptosky indicated that he must speak to Austin to ensure that they had the proper chemicals and equipment to perform the maintenance recommended by a consultant.

*****Supervisor Gaeta returned to the workshop, in person.*****

Supervisor Lawrence asked if Precision would provide the District with recommendations on how to maintain the courts, as part of the sod installation. Mr. Kloptosky recalled that Precision agreed to provide guidance for up to one year, following installation; however, he must verify the specifics of the offer, as it was not specified in the proposal. In response to Supervisor Lawrence’s question, Mr. Kloptosky advised that Precision agreed to

execute a standard Grand Haven contract; therefore, Precision must warranty or be responsible for 12 months following installation.

Supervisor Smith agreed that the District should not enter into a consulting contract now, as he believed that Mr. West is more experienced in maintaining sod; he felt that installing sod and maintaining it are different and questioned if Precision would be the right contractor to provide consulting services. Mr. Kloptosky stated that he will continue to seek contractors for consulting services. Supervisor Smith asked if Nu-Green's proposal includes the croquet practice court. Mr. Kloptosky stated no. Supervisor Smith questioned why the District would choose not to aerate the practice court. Mr. Kloptosky advised that the status of the practice court was tied to whether the District proceeds with the parking lot project at The Village Center; it would not make sense to invest in the practice court if the parking lot project proceeds. Mr. Kloptosky pointed out that there are many other aspects to maintaining a croquet court, in addition to aerification. Austin will continue performing routine maintenance. Supervisor Davidson directed Mr. Kloptosky to obtain an aerification and air-injection quote, for the practice court, from Nu-Green.

Supervisor Lawrence voiced his opinion that, if Precision agreed to guarantee the court for one year, they would inspect it periodically, anyway; therefore, he believed that Precision's consulting services proposal was simply a way to "get some more money out of us". Mr. Kloptosky questioned Supervisor Lawrence's comment, as he believed that the consulting services were meant to commence following the warranty period. Supervisor Gaeta concurred with Mr. Kloptosky. Mr. Kloptosky recalled that Precision was willing to oversee the court during the one-year warranty period but offered the consulting services, following expiration of the warranty.

A resident recalled that Precision planned to roll the court, at various times, and asked if Austin has the necessary equipment to roll the court. Mr. Kloptosky stated that Austin rolled the court, in the past; however, he was not sure whether Austin's equipment was large or adequate enough. Mr. Kloptosky was unsure if rolling was included in the consulting or aerification services proposals; it would be a separate process.

Mr. Kloptosky asked Mr. West for his expert opinion about rolling, such as how often the court should be rolled, what size roller should be used and who is best qualified to perform the rolling. Mr. West advised that the golf course greens are rolled once per week to maintain smooth surfaces; the golf course's rollers are not suitable for compaction. Regarding the current

uneven conditions on the croquet court, Mr. West felt that no roller could alleviate the current conditions, especially after aerification.

*****Mr. West left the workshop.*****

*****The workshop recessed at 10:59 a.m.*****

*****The workshop reconvened at 11:11 a.m.*****

- **Scheduling**

Mr. Kloptosky stated that, approximately six months ago, Mr. Ross had discussions with a few members of the Croquet Club to develop a scheduling matrix for croquet court usage. He noted that, previously, the Croquet Club maintained its own schedule but the CDD and Amenity Manager were not involved. Mr. Kloptosky presented sample weekly and daily schedules, which were essentially blank, allowing anyone to reserve playing time; the only blocked out periods were for maintenance, three times per week.

Mr. Kloptosky recalled that he and the Chair received an email from Mr. Woodville that contained information from a Croquet Club member regarding a complaint about a possible threat to public safety, originating from incidents that occurred on the croquet courts. He noted Mr. Woodville's email comment *"I indicated to the Operations Manager that we needed to make changes to the croquet organizational structure to help to avoid confrontation with players. I indicated that this seems to be building and that this maybe becoming a public safety issue. The Operations Manager indicated that he would contact the Board Chair and would review the situation for a potential solution."* Mr. Kloptosky advised that *"Grand Haven is a governmental entity with Sovereign Immunity. Ignoring a complaint of a threat to public safety can be considered negligence, which could jeopardize the community's Sovereign Immunity, subjecting the community to the possibility of a multi-million dollar lawsuit. This complaint initiated action by the District Manager, Field Operations Manager, Amenities Manager and Chairman of the Board."* Mr. Kloptosky stated that there was no choice other than to address this matter, due to the public safety concern.

Supervisor Davidson discussed the Legislative Claim Bill and explained that, when there is a complaint about a condition or threat to public safety and, when it has escalated to the District Manager's office, the District Manager, Amenity Manager, Field Operations Manager and Chair must become involved. He advised that, if no action is taken, it is considered a negligent act by the District and, if a critical incident developed, the legislature could file a Claim Bill to overturn the District's sovereign immunity status. Supervisor Davidson stressed

that the District must be very careful when a public safety claim is made and escalates. He presented slides of claims with the Florida Legislature.

Supervisor Davidson voiced his opinion that, if a negligent claim is held and a Claims Bill passes the legislature, the District would also lose its insurance protection, as the incident would be proven negligent and insurance carriers will not pay in a negligence case.

Mr. Wrathell stated that the District carries insurance in excess of the sovereign immunity coverage. He explained that, if the District has a judgment against it, which exceeds the sovereign immunity levels, the plaintiff has the opportunity to petition the legislature for payment of the additional amount. Regarding whether the District's private insurance carrier would cover a claim, Mr. Wrathell advised that the District would file a claim and, if the District lost the judgment and the insurance carrier tried to avoid paying, the District would fight the insurance carrier; if the claim further exceeded the District's insurance coverage, the District could be exposed to paying directly.

Supervisor Davidson stated that the Board has a responsibility to protect the financial interests of the District against negligence claims that would not be covered by insurance.

Supervisor Davidson acknowledged that people might question the "threat to public safety" alleged on the croquet courts but voiced his opinion that there could easily be a threat to public safety. He explained that the essentially blank schedule presented by Mr. Kloptosky is anarchy on the court; anyone could do what they want, which could create confrontations, due to the lack of organizational structure at the courts. Supervisor Davidson stated, for example, that a person could confront someone with a cardiac issue and the person could die from a heart attack on the court and the decedent's relatives could sue the District. For this reason, Supervisor Davidson felt that the Board must take action to create an organizational structure on the croquet courts.

Mr. Kloptosky presented a series of emails regarding scheduling and the Croquet Club's "white paper document", previously used for scheduling. He stated that the Croquet Club requested that the Club's calendar become the standing reservations document and be adopted as the District's croquet reservations policy. Mr. Kloptosky highlighted the Croquet Club President's proposal for "*A monthly calendar that will be filed with the Amenities Office. It will state Croquet Club play schedules, class times and maintenance times. It will act as a standing reservation for all Croquet Club members. One court will remain available for use by non-member residents to include the court at the Village Center.*"

Mr. Kloptosky reviewed additional email requests from the Croquet Club President reiterating the Club's requests.

Mr. Kloptosky advised that the Croquet Club's requests prompted creation of a calendar based on the Club's existing time schedules. He explained that the yellow highlighted areas represented the times that the Croquet Club used the courts for play, clinics and activities. The dark green areas reflected maintenance times. Mr. Kloptosky stated that the Croquet Club usage, as highlighted, accounted for a lot of the time slots, which caused concern about limited play time availability for non Croquet Club member residents. He summarized that the schedule was not conducive to residents who wanted to play croquet during the day but did not want to join the Croquet Club.

Mr. Kloptosky indicated that, as a result of the limitations in the previously discussed schedule, he, along with the Amenity Manager and Chair, drafted a proposed schedule for the croquet courts, which was presented at the September 18 workshop.

Mr. Kloptosky referred to a slide containing an email expressing Supervisor Smith's concerns regarding how the September 18 draft schedule was formulated; Supervisor Smith requested the names of those involved with creating the new schedule. He stated that, as a result of Supervisor Smith's inquiry, he and the Amenity Manager met, individually, with numerous people, including current and former Croquet Club members and casual croquet players to gather opinions regarding the draft schedule. Mr. Kloptosky summarized that, based on those discussions, an additional draft scheduling matrix was created, using 63 hours of play time, from 8:00 a.m., to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Sunday. He advised that play times after 5:00 p.m., were omitted from the draft schedule due to darkness and The Village Center court was not included because of the court's condition. Mr. Kloptosky stated that, from the available 63 hours, 4.5 hours of maintenance time was subtracted, leaving 58.5 hours of prime playable time. The 58.5 hours of playable time was divided into 24 hours of blocked play time for the Croquet Club, as requested by the Croquet Club President, leaving 34.5 hours for general resident play. Mr. Kloptosky felt that his revised schedule was fair and equitable to all.

Mr. Kloptosky stated that, on his own accord, he contacted District Counsel for an opinion regarding whether the District could dedicate play time to the Croquet Club, when the courts were paid for by all residents. He indicated that Mr. Clark advised that the District can block out play time for the Croquet Club provided that it allows equitable play time for the remaining residents. Mr. Kloptosky further inquired about whether a player could walk on and

use the courts if the courts are being used during a scheduled time. Mr. Clark advised him that a walk-on player cannot use or interrupt the courts when scheduled players are using them.

Supervisor Chiodo stated that the yellow highlighted time slots would be dedicated to the Croquet Club members but the white spaces would be available for any residents to reserve, including Croquet Club members, on a first-come, first-served, basis. Mr. Kloptosky concurred with Supervisor Chiodo and explained that a reservation process similar to the tennis courts would be implemented. Supervisor Davidson explained that residents must make reservations, in person, two days ahead, at The Village Center; however, if a court is not reserved, telephone reservations would be taken up until the time slot. If there are no reservations for a time slot, players may walk on. Supervisor Davidson stressed that an organizational structure must be created; otherwise, the District is being negligent.

Supervisor Lawrence asked if the District's amenity rules must be changed to specify that nonmembers may not utilize the croquet courts during times blocked out for the Croquet Club. Mr. Wrathell stated that, from a policy perspective, the Board can adopt the scheduling concept and include a statement regarding what happens, once a court is reserved, as opposed to including specifications in the official rules. Mr. Wrathell felt that scheduling should remain flexible through a trial period; after which, the Board could consider adding it to the rules.

Supervisor Gaeta referred to the draft schedule proposed by Mr. Kloptosky and questioned if a single person could reserve an entire court or whether a reservation would require a minimum number of players. Mr. Kloptosky stated that two or more players would be required to make a reservation at Creekside but one or more players can reserve The Village Center courts. Mr. Wrathell recommended the addition of "per court" under the "Creekside 2-4 Players" heading on the draft schedule.

Supervisor Smith questioned if any other amenities have time dedicated to certain users. Mr. Ross advised that tennis, water aerobics and lane swimming have dedicated times. Supervisor Gaeta added that Move to the Music also has dedicated times. In response to Supervisor Smith's question, Mr. Ross indicated that tennis court times are dedicated to Round Robin play on Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday. Supervisor Smith asked about the role of the Tennis Advisory Group (TAG). Mr. Ross stated that tennis is working perfectly; TAG has not met for several years because the current system is working fine. Supervisor Davidson discussed the history of TAG, why it was created and what the group accomplished.

Supervisor Smith pointed out that Mr. Kloptosky's proposed schedule provides 17.5 hours of open play time, over seven days; however, the majority of the added time occurs on Friday and Saturday. He summarized that 11 of the 17.5 additional hours fall on Friday and Saturday, with only 6.5 of the additional hours scattered through the other days of the week. Supervisor Smith felt that, on the surface, the open player times are not optimal; the schedule must be massaged to reach a comfort level for all.

Mr. Kloptosky responded that he considered that the majority of the people playing croquet were Croquet Club members, which is why the Croquet Club was allotted more of the daily time slots. He felt that the schedule considers the needs of the majority of the croquet players.

Supervisor Lawrence voiced his opinion that Mr. Kloptosky's draft schedule was a good compromise.

Mr. Kloptosky recommended that the Board adopt the Grand Haven Croquet Club Compromised Schedule, dated September 30, 2014, as the rule for reservation of the Grand Haven CDD croquet courts. He felt that a Croquet Court Advisory Group could be formed to refine the draft schedule and present additional scheduling solution for the Board's consideration, if necessary.

Supervisor Davidson expressed his opinion that every nonresident, invitational croquet player should pay the standard \$10 daily fee. He noted that, previously, deals were made for a lower rate; however, he believed that discounts should no longer be offered, as it was not fair or equitable.

Mr. Kloptosky recalled that the Board would block out time, twice per year, for invitational tournaments. Supervisor Davidson clarified that the Croquet Club would submit a request to block time for a tournament for the Board's consideration.

Mr. Ross felt that the draft schedule was a good compromise and should be implemented, on a trial basis.

Supervisor Davidson stressed that the previously presented draft schedule was not his work; he simply presented it. He recalled discussion of whether, within the blocked Croquet Club times, the District should allow the private Croquet Club to dictate who can play and how players must dress or, should the District specify that the Club cannot dictate who can play or how they must dress because the courts are public. Supervisor Davidson indicated that the

recommendation of Mr. Kloptosky and Mr. Ross was that the Croquet Club should be able to enforce all of its rules during the blocked times.

Supervisor Lawrence stated that, in other municipalities, leagues that utilize public parks can determine the rules and dress of participants and who can participate. He felt that Mr. Kloptosky's draft schedule was a good compromise but wanted to hear public comments; he would be in favor of the draft schedule and allowing the Croquet Club to dictate their time periods and that, during a blocked time period, only Croquet Club members would be allowed to play, with the exception of invited nonmembers.

Supervisor Gaeta agreed with Supervisor Lawrence's opinions. She endorsed allowing the Croquet Club to set the rules and dictate play and dress during its blocked time periods.

Supervisor Chiodo concurred but wanted Mr. Ross to track the usage and who is reserving the time periods not dedicated to the Croquet Club to determine whether members or nonmembers were reserving the remaining slots. It was noted that a sign in system was not being utilized for the croquet courts; currently, only Club members sign in.

Supervisor Smith felt that the draft schedule was a good beginning, with the caveat that it be mandatory to form a Croquet Advisory Group. He suggested a trial period of 60 days for the schedule, with the system "dying" after 60 days, unless the advisory group validated it. Supervisor Smith pointed out that the new system would be, philosophically, very different from how the courts were previously managed. He stated that, if the Croquet Club was given control of a major portion of the available play time, the Croquet Club should be required to provide community services to residents, in order to "earn" the right to control the courts. Supervisor Smith requested that an advisory group fully define what services the Croquet Club provides to the community. Having clinics and training players for a limited number of sessions, with the express intent of gathering new members, is not what he envisions by community services.

Supervisor Davidson felt that the compromised schedule was a good starting point and, after hearing public comments, the Board would better understand if a Croquet Advisory Group was necessary.

Supervisor Chiodo indicated that, if the schedule will be implemented, on a trial basis, the Board must specify the time frame of the trial period.

B. Discussion: CDD Check-in at Both Amenity Centers [TL]

This item was not discussed.

C. Continued Discussion: Proposed Amendments to Rules, Policies and Fees for All Amenity Facilities

This item was not discussed.

D. Update: Meet the Candidates Night - October 15, 2014 at 7:00 P.M.

This item was not discussed.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

UPDATES: District Manager

• UPCOMING WORKSHOP/MEETING DATES

○ BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING

- October 16, 2014 at 10:00 A.M.**

The next meeting will be on October 16, 2014 at 10:00 a.m., at this location

○ COMMUNITY WORKSHOP

- November 6, 2014 at 10:00 A.M.**

The next workshop will be on November 6, 2014 at 10:00 a.m., at this location

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

OPEN ITEMS

This item was not discussed.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

SUPERVISORS' REQUESTS

This item was not discussed.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

ADJOURNMENT

There being nothing further to discuss, the workshop adjourned.

<p>On MOTION by Supervisor Gaeta and seconded by Supervisor Davidson, the workshop adjourned at 12:02 p.m.</p>

[SIGNATURES APPEAR ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE]

Secretary/Assistant Secretary

Chair/Vice Chair